

312 W. Maple Ave. Byron, MI 48418 Phone: (810) 266-4620

Fax: (810) 266-5010

Byron High School

Byron High School Annual Education Report (AER) Cover Letter

August 14, 2014

Dear Parents and Community Members,

We are pleased to present you with the Annual Education Report (AER) which provides key information on the 2013-2014 educational progress for Byron High School. The AER addresses the complex reporting information required by federal and state laws. The school's report contains information about student assessment, accountability and teacher quality. If you have any questions about the AER, please contact Grant Hegenauer, Principal for assistance.

The AER is available for you to review electronically by visiting the following web site www.byron.k12.mi.us or you may review a copy in our main office at your child's school.

The state has identified some schools with the status of Reward, Focus or Priority. A Reward school is one that is outperforming other schools in achievement, growth, or is performing better than other schools with a similar student population. A Focus school is one that has a large achievement gap in 30% of its student achievement scores. A Priority school is one whose achievement and growth is in the lowest 5% of all schools in the state.

Our School has not been given one of these labels.

Byron High School, like many other schools face certain challenges that impact student performance. Reduced levels of state funding have impacted the staffing, programming, and the levels of support that Byron High School can utilize to ensure student growth. In spite of these challenges, the staff at Byron High School is eager to provide Byron students with exceptional learning opportunities. New for the 2014 -2015 school year, the high school with collaboration of the Michigan Project Based Institute have begun re-imagining teaching and learning by incorporating project based lessons and units throughout

our instructional courses. Our goal is to make our students learning more engaging, challenging, and connected to the world outside the classroom walls. For teachers and administrators this process will be recognized, supported through training supported and funded by RESD and Michigan Project Based Institute. Teachers will be utilizing this technology to increase students understanding and utilization of 21st century skills. We are continuing to provide college and career readiness programs, offer a dean of students who uses intervention strategies to address students who are struggling or showing learning gaps, and a selection of honors programs.

1. Process for Assigning Students to the School

Byron High School services all students in grades 9-12 who live in the Byron Area School District. The school also accepts school of choice students from other districts.

2. Status of the 3-5 year School Improvement Plan

The School Improvement Team has written a school improvement plan focused on raising achievement in writing, math, reading, science, and social studies. The team analyzed student data in each of these content areas and developed a strategic plan for accomplishing these goals. The plan is reviewed by the team multiple times throughout the year to monitor progress of implementation.

3. Brief Description of each Specialized School

It is the philosophy of the Byron Area Schools and the Shiawassee Regional Education Service District to serve each person with special needs in the least restrictive environment. A continuum of education placement options is offered from age 0-25, which provides for mild to severe handicapping conditions, supportive services, and vocational training programs designed to meet the needs of special needs persons. The placement options are provided through cooperative planning by the Byron Area Schools, Shiawassee Regional Education Service District, and the Michigan Department of Education, since no district could support a full continuum itself. The Shiawassee Regional Education Service District operates programs and services for the low incidence populations. Likewise preprimary impaired programs are center programs serving clusters of districts. For the high incidence of EMI, EI, and LD, each individual district offers those options that best meet their

needs. However, by agreement, each district can have placement access to categorical programs operated by another district, if appropriate. In this way, a full continuum is available in an efficient and cost effective manner regardless of district size. Byron does have students who attend programs within the Shiawassee Regional Education Service District.

4. Core Curriculum

Byron High School curriculum units are aligned with the Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and The Michigan High School Content Expectations (HSCE) establish what every student is expected to know by the end of high school. Assessment of the core curriculum, as well as all other curricular areas is an ongoing process. All curriculum work stems from the Frameworks Process, Common Core State Standards (CCSS) and The Michigan High School Content Expectations (HSCE). Assessment of the core curriculum, as well as all other curricular areas, is ongoing.

5. Locally Administered Assessments

Byron High School administers one local assessment, the PLAN test. The test is administered in the spring to tenth grade students to measure growth. This year's PLAN scores are below. Plus or minus means above or below that year's National Average.

ENGLISH 17.6 +

MATH 17.7
READING 17.5 +

SCIENCE 19.3 +

COMPOSITE 18.1 +

6. Parent Teacher Conferences

Our annual parent teacher conferences, which are held in November, we had a parent participation rate of 40% which equated to 154 of 386 parents attending conferences with their child's teacher.

7. College Credit for Dual Enrollment

In 2013-2014, 44 (11.39%) students participated in dual enrollment classes.

8. AP Courses

In 2013–2014, 3 AP courses were offered. 60 (15.5%) students were enrolled in these classes.

9(15%) received a score leading to college credit.

- Literature & Composition.
- Calculus.
- History.

Byron High School is made up of dedicated professionals and support staff who recognize the students as very special people who are here to learn and grow. We are also fortunate that our parents are very involved and support the staff and students in a variety of ways. We will continue to stay focused on academics while setting high standards for character and behavior by following the Eagle Code. With the support of the school, family, and community members we can make a difference.

Sincerely,

Grant Hegenauer

Principal



Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)

ubject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State %	District %	School %	% Advanced	% Proficient	% Partially	% Not
				Students	Students	Students	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	Proficient	Proficient
				Proficient	Proficient	Proficient			(Level 3)	(Level 4)

No Data to Display



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	28.6%	19.3%	19.3%	%0	19.3%	54.5%	26.1%
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	28.8%	30.2%	30.2%	1.9%	28.3%	49.1%	20.8%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	15.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	23.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	24.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	33.3%	20%	20%	%0	20%	%5.95	23.5%
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2013-14	33.5%	30.8%	30.8%	1.9%	28.8%	48.1%	21.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	27%	17%	17%	%0	17%	53.2%	29.8%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	26.5%	22%	22%	%0	22%	59.3%	18.6%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	30.3%	22%	22%	%0	22%	56.1%	22%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	31.1%	40.4%	40.4%	4.3%	36.2%	36.2%	23.4%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	13%	3.8%	3.8%	%0	3.8%	38.5%	57.7%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	13%	21.2%	21.2%	%0	21.2%	51.5%	27.3%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	3.7%	7.7%	7.7%	%0	7.7%	23.1%	69.2%
Mathematics	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	4.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	×10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	53.5%	46.6%	46.6%	4.5%	42%	31.8%	21.6%
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	58.7%	61.3%	61.3%	7.5%	53.8%	31.1%	7.5%
Page 2 of 25							A se	rvice of the Center for	r Educational Perform	A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Reading	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any Race	2012-13	39.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	52.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	%9.89	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2012-13	59.1%	47.1%	47.1%	4.7%	42.4%	31.8%	21.2%
Reading	11th Grade	White	2013-14	%59	%9.09	%9.09	7.7%	52.9%	31.7%	7.7%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	26%	29.6%	29.6%	6.4%	53.2%	27.7%	12.8%
Reading	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	62.4%	64.4%	64.4%	8.5%	55.9%	28.8%	6.8%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	51%	31.7%	31.7%	2.4%	29.3%	36.6%	31.7%
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	25%	57.4%	57.4%	6.4%	51.1%	34%	8.5%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	37.9%	26.9%	26.9%	%0	26.9%	34.6%	38.5%
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	43%	51.5%	51.5%	9.1%	42.4%	36.4%	12.1%
Reading	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	19%	15.4%	15.4%	%0	15.4%	15.4%	69.2%
Reading	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	24.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	25.7%	20.5%	20.5%	5.7%	14.8%	40.9%	38.6%
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2013-14	28.4%	30.2%	30.2%	7.5%	22.6%	43.4%	26.4%
Science	11th Grade	Hispanic of Any	2012-13	12.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Michigan Merit Examination (MME)

Subject	Grade	Testing Group School Year	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2012-13	21.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Two or More Races	2013-14	27.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	30.4%	21.2%	21.2%	5.9%	15.3%	41.2%	37.6%
Science	11th Grade	White	2013-14	33.4%	30.8%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	43.3%	26%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2012-13	22.5%	14.9%	14.9%	2.1%	12.8%	46.8%	38.3%
Science	11th Grade	Female	2013-14	24.6%	27.1%	27.1%	3.4%	23.7%	44.1%	28.8%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	28.9%	26.8%	26.8%	9.8%	17.1%	34.1%	39%
Science	11th Grade	Male	2013-14	32.2%	34%	34%	12.8%	21.3%	42.6%	23.4%
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%	3.8%	7.7%	19.2%	69.2%
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2013-14	14.2%	15.2%	15.2%	3%	12.1%	48.5%	36.4%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2012-13	5.1%	7.7%	7.7%	7.7%	%0	7.7%	84.6%
Science	11th Grade	Students With Disabilities	2013-14	5.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10



Michigan Educational Assessment Program Access (MEAP - Access)

Progressing			
%			
% Met			
% Exceeded			
School %	Students	Proficient	
District %	Students	Proficient	
State %	Students	Proficient	
School Year			The state of the s
Testing Group			THE SHEET IN
Grade			
Subject			

No Data to Display



MI-Access Functional Independence

Subject	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	%8.59	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	91.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	All Students	2012-13	29%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	White	2012-13	72%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	White	2012-13	94.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	White	2012-13	%5.99	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	69.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	91.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Male	2012-13	61.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	63.6%	<10	<10	×10	<10	<10
Reading	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	91.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science	11th Grade	Economically Disadvantaged	2012-13	57.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	~10



MI-Access Supported Independence

(Level 2)	piect	Grade	Testing Group	School Year	State %	District %	School %	% Surpassed	% Attained	% Emerging
Proficient					Students	Students	Students	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
					Proficient	Proficient	Proficient			

No Data to Display



MI-Access Participation

Subject

Grade Testing Group School Year State % District % School % % Surpassed % Attained % Emerging Students Students (Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3) Proficient Proficient	i V.		
Testing Group School Year State % District % School % % Surpassed % Students Students (Level 1) Proficient Proficient	% Emerging	(Level 3)	
Testing Group School Year State % District % School % Students Students Students Proficient Proficient	% Attained	(Level 2)	
Testing Group School Year State % District % Students Students Proficient	% Surpassed	(Level 1)	
Testing Group School Year State % Students Proficient	School %	Students	Proficient
Testing Group School Year	District %	Students	Proficient
Testing Group School	State %	Students	Proficient
Grade Testing Group	School Year		
Grade	Testing Group		
	 Grade		

No Data to Display



Accountability Details Subject Data

Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
All Students	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	62.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Mathematics	N/A	18.9%
American Indian	Statewide	Mathematics	98.9%	54.1%
African American	Statewide	Mathematics	97.5%	39.5%
Asian	Statewide	Mathematics	99.6%	82.8%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Mathematics	99.1%	51.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Mathematics	99%	67.9%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	60.4%
White	Statewide	Mathematics	99.3%	67.9%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Mathematics	98.6%	49.7%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Mathematics	99.2%	46%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Mathematics	98.1%	39.7%
All Students	District	Mathematics	100%	65.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Mathematics	N/A	11.9%
American Indian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	District	Mathematics	100%	65.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Mathematics	100%	57%
English Language Learners	District	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Mathematics	100%	38.5%
All Students	School	Mathematics	100%	57.7%
Bottom 30%	School	Mathematics	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
White	School	Mathematics	100%	58.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Mathematics	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Reading	99.1%	85.8%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Reading	N/A	60.1%
American Indian Page 9 of 25	Statewide	Reading	99% A service of the Center for Educa	83.4%

Page 9 of 25

A service of the Center for Educational Performance and Information



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
African American	Statewide	Reading	97.9%	72.2%
Asian	Statewide	Reading	99.5%	91.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Reading	99.2%	80.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	87.3%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	86.3%
White	Statewide	Reading	99.4%	89.3%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Reading	98.8%	78.5%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Reading	99%	69.8%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Reading	98.4%	56.2%
All Students	District	Reading	100%	89.1%
Bottom 30%	District	Reading	N/A	63.8%
American Indian	District	Reading	<30	<30
Asian	District	Reading	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Reading	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Reading	<30	<30
White	District	Reading	100%	89.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Reading	100%	82.8%
English Language Learners	District	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Reading	100%	57.7%
All Students	School	Reading	100%	94.9%
Bottom 30%	School	Reading	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	School	Reading	<30	<30
White	School	Reading	100%	94.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Reading	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Reading	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Science	98.3%	42.9%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Science	N/A	1.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Science	98.4%	35.6%
African American	Statewide	Science	95.8%	14.9%
Asian	Statewide	Science	99.4%	61.1%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Science	98.5%	26.7%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Science	99.1%	48.4%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Science	98.9%	40.6%
White	Statewide	Science	98.9%	50.1%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Science	97.4%	26.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Science	98.4%	11.2%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Science	97.2%	16.1%
All Students	District	Science	99.7%	45.8%
Bottom 30%	District	Science	N/A	0%
American Indian	District	Science	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Science	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Science	<30	<30
White	District	Science	99.7%	46.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Science	99.2%	33.9%
English Language Learners	District	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	District	Science	<30	<30
All Students	School	Science	100%	63.9%
Bottom 30%	School	Science	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	School	Science	<30	<30
White	School	Science	100%	64.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Science	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Science	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Social Studies	97.3%	57.3%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Social Studies	N/A	9.1%
American Indian	Statewide	Social Studies	97.7%	49.7%
African American	Statewide	Social Studies	93.6%	28.4%
Asian	Statewide	Social Studies	99.1%	74.4%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	42.7%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Social Studies	98.9%	65.5%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	53.8%
White	Statewide	Social Studies	98.2%	64.5%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Social Studies	95.8%	40.4%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Social Studies	97.5%	22.7%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Social Studies	92.3%	21.7%
All Students	District	Social Studies	99.7%	62.6%
Bottom 30%	District	Social Studies	N/A	15.5%
American Indian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Asian	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	District	Social Studies	99.7%	62.6%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Social Studies	100%	51.2%
Students With Disabilities	District	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	School	Social Studies	100%	79.4%
Bottom 30%	School	Social Studies	N/A	<30
American Indian	School	Social Studies		
Hispanic of Any Race	School	Social Studies		
Two or More Races	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
White	School	Social Studies	100%	79.2%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Social Studies	<30	<30
All Students	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	73.2%
Bottom 30%	Statewide	Writing	N/A	26.5%
American Indian	Statewide	Writing	98.5%	63.2%
African American	Statewide	Writing	96.4%	54.4%
Asian	Statewide	Writing	99%	86%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	Writing	98.8%	64.3%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	Writing	99%	76.6%
Two or More Races	Statewide	Writing	99.1%	72.8%
White	Statewide	Writing	99%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	Writing	97.8%	61.3%
English Language Learners	Statewide	Writing	98%	51.1%



Testing Group	Location	Subject	% Tested Total(Goal 95%)	% Proficient for Accountability*
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	Writing	97.7%	35.2%
All Students	District	Writing	100%	80.2%
Bottom 30%	District	Writing	N/A	34.2%
American Indian	District	Writing	<30	<30
Asian	District	Writing	<30	<30
Hispanic of Any Race	District	Writing	<30	<30
Two or More Races	District	Writing	<30	<30
White	District	Writing	100%	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	Writing	100%	67.7%
Students With Disabilities	District	Writing	<30	<30
All Students	School	Writing	100%	85.6%
Bottom 30%	School	Writing	N/A	<30
Two or More Races	School	Writing	<30	<30
White	School	Writing	100%	85.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	School	Writing	<30	<30
Students With Disabilities	School	Writing	<30	<30



Accountability Details Graduation Data

Testing Group	Location	Accountability Scorecard Completion Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)
All Students	Statewide	77%
American Indian	Statewide	64.1%
African American	Statewide	60.5%
Asian	Statewide	87.9%
Hispanic of Any Race	Statewide	67.3%
Migrant	Statewide	70.5%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Statewide	69.2%
Two or More Races	Statewide	73.9%
White	Statewide	82.1%
Female	Statewide	81.5%
Male	Statewide	72.7%
Economically Disadvantaged	Statewide	63.9%
English Language Learners	Statewide	65.4%
Students With Disabilities	Statewide	53.6%
Homeless	Statewide	54.2%
All Students	District	85%
White	District	85.4%
Economically Disadvantaged	District	70%
Bottom 30%	District	78.3%
All Students	School	86.7%
White	School	87.2%
Bottom 30%	School	78.3%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Details Attendance Data

Testing Group	Location	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
All Students	Statewide	94.3%
All Students	District	96%

^{*} All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.



Accountability Status District Data

	, ii	P	8	
	Overall	Score		
	Overall	Status		
	Social	Studies	Score	
	Social	Studies	Status	
	Science	Score		
	Science	Status		
	Math Score			
	Math Status			
	Writing	Score		
	Writing	Status		
	Reading	Score		
	Reading	Status		
	District	Name		





Accounta	Accountability Status School Data	us scuooi	Data				The second second	No. of Concession, Name of Street, or other Persons, or other Pers				The same of the sa	Total Control of the last	
District Name	School Name	Title 1 Status	Reading Status	Reading Score	Writing Status	Writing Score	Math Status	Math Score	Science Status	Science	Social Studies Status	Social Studies Score	Overall Status	Overall Score
Byron Area Schools	Syron Area Byron Area Schools High School		Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	2	Green	. 2	Lime	32



Teacher Quality - Qualification

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	P.H.D.
Professional Qualifications of All Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School		14	9	0

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Teacher Quality - Class

	School Aggregate	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Teacher Quality - Provisional

	Certification Percent
Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0%



NAEP Grade 4 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	40	30	7
Male Female	52 48	24 23	38 41	31 30	7 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	54 46 ‡	35 9 ‡	45 34 ‡	18 45 ‡	2 12 ‡
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 19 9 11 ‡ ‡	14 53 36 11 ‡ ‡ 16	41 37 42 35 ‡ ‡ 50	38 9 18 24 ‡ ‡ 24	7 1 4 30 ‡ ‡ 10
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	15 33	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	21 21	40 40	32 32	7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 8 Math

PIERWY IN	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	30	40	23	7
Male Female	52 48	31 28	38 42	23 24	8
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	46 16 0	38 42 0	14 32 0	2 10 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 16 6 3 1	21 64 51 12 0 0	43 29 35 28 0 0	29 6 13 30 0 0	7 1 1 30 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	12 88	50 20	34 40	14 33	2 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	74 28	24 41	2 24	0 7

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 12 Math

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	34	41	23	2
Male Female	51 49	32 35	41 42	26 22	1
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	54 22 0	37 44 0	9 32 0	0 2 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	26 68 58 26 0 0	42 27 33 32 0 0	30 5 9 35 0 0	2 0 0 7 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	78 30	19 43	3 25	0 2
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 33	0 41	0 24	0 2

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2013 Mathematics Achievement.



NAEP Grade 4 Reading

Share of the state	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	33	25	6
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 0	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	66 18 9 3 1 0	28 61 47 23 0 0	35 27 32 32 0 0	29 11 18 32 0 0	8 1 3 13 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 32	9 34	0 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[#] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 8 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	23	44	30	3
Male Female	52 48	26 19	47 42	25 35	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	46 54 0	34 13 0	47 42 0	18 40 0	1 5 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	72 15 6 3 1 0	17 46 31 17 0 0	46 42 47 30 0 0	34 11 20 39 0 0	3 1 2 14 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	59 19	34 45	7 33	0 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	8 92	61 34	30 34	8 25	1 7

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Grade 12 Reading

	Percent of Students	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	26	5	27	5
Male Female	50 50	31 20	37 37	28 37	4 6
National Lunch Program Eligibility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	35 64 1	37 19 0	39 36 0	22 38 0	2 7 0
Race/Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian American Indian Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Two or More Races	76 14 5 3 1 0	20 52 34 21 0 0	38 36 44 26 0 0	36 12 21 41 0 0	6 0 1 12 0 0
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	7 93	66 23	25 38	8 34	1 5
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	0 25	0 37	0 33	0 5

[#] Rounds to zero

[‡] Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Reading Assessment.



NAEP Participation Data

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math	87	1.9	95	2.0
	Reading	73	3.7	90	2,5
8	Math	84	3.6	84	5.2
	Reading	76	3.3	83	4.0